Segment G: West of Regional Road 1 to West of Nafziger Road

**Environmental Considerations Mapping: Preliminary Design Map for Recommended Plan and Close-up Maps of Crossing Road Intersection Treatment Alternatives** 

## **APPENDIX F**

**Preliminary Design Alternatives Assessment and Evaluation Table** 

# Highway 7 & 8 Transportation Corridor Planning and Class EA Study - Preliminary Design Map of Segment G - Draft - July, 2013









Designated Ontario Heritage Property







Highway 7 & 8 Corridor Planning and Class EA Study - Preliminary Design Close-up Map of Connecting Road Intersection Treatment Alternatives for Segment G - 1 of 3 (July, 2013)



Path: F:\60117929 Highway 7 and 8\GIS Data\Maps\Road Treatments\60117929\_SegG\_Intersection\_Group\_1\_20130718.mxd

Highway 7 & 8 Corridor Planning and Class EA Study -Preliminary Design Close-up Map of Connecting Road Intersection Treatment Alternatives for Segment G - 2 of 3 - Highway 7/8 and Peel Street (July, 2013)



Path: F:\60117929 Highway 7 and 8\GIS Data\Maps\Road Treatments\60117929\_SegG\_Intersection\_Group\_2a\_20130410.mxd

Highway 7 & 8 Corridor Planning and Class EA Study - Preliminary Design Close-up Map of Connecting Road Intersection Treatment Alternatives for Segment G - 3 of 3 - Highway 7/8 and Hamilton Street (July, 2013)



Path: F:\60117929 Highway 7 and 8\GIS Data\Maps\Road Treatments\60117929\_SegG\_Intersection\_Group\_3a\_20130410.mxd

Highway 7&8 Transportation Corridor Planning and Class EA Study EVALUATION OF PRELIMINARY DESIGN ALTERNATIVES Note: The evaluation is based on a qualitative assessment of each alternative (high, medium or low). Relevant and site-specific information for each criterion/cell is provided to				
		SEGMENT G – West of Regional Road 1 to West of Nafziger Ro	bad	
Segment C	G Alternatives	Alternative G1 - Recommended		
Cross	s Section	4-lanes with 6-lanes through Peel Street and 7m median	4-lanes throughout and 7m median	
Crossing Ro	oad Treatments	Regional Road 1 – Signalized Walker Road – Unsignalized (stop control on the crossing road) Peel Street – Signalized Victoria Street – Cul-de-sac	Regional Road 1 – Signalized Walker Road – Unsignalized (right-in Peel Street – Interchange Victoria Street – Cul-de-sac	
Factor / Sub-Factor	Criteria	Hamilton street – Signalized	Hamilton street – Interchange	
1. Natural Environmental Facto	ors			
1.1 Fisheries and Aquatic Ecosystems	1.1.1 Fish Habitat	<ul> <li>Moderate potential to affect fish and fish habitat</li> <li>2 watercourse crossings</li> </ul>	Moderate potential to affect fish and • 2 watercourse crossings	
	1.1.2 Fish Community	<ul> <li>1 crossing of Nith River (warm water)</li> <li>1 crossing of a tributary of the Nith River (thermal regime unknown)</li> <li>No SAR recorded in any crossing</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1 crossing of Nith River (warm v</li> <li>1 crossing of a tributary of the N</li> <li>No SAR recorded in any crossing</li> </ul>	
1.2 Terrestrial Ecosystems	1.2.1 Wildlife	<ul> <li>Low potential to affect wildlife and their habitat</li> <li>98 breeding bird species in the study area</li> <li>Area sensitive bird species recorded in close proximity / within the alternative</li> <li>MNR area sensitive bird species in close proximity / within the alternative</li> <li>1 species of special concern (MNR S-Rank 3) in close proximity / within the alternative</li> <li>2 frog species in close proximity / within the alternative</li> </ul>	Low potential to affect wildlife and the 98 breeding bird species in the stu Area sensitive bird species recorded MNR area sensitive bird species in 1 species of special concern (MNR 2 frog species in close proximity / v	
	1.2.2 Wetlands	<ul> <li>Low potential to affect wetlands</li> <li>One LSW (New Hamburg Oxbow Wetland Complex) located approximately 120 m from the right-of-way</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Low potential to affect wetlands</li> <li>One LSW (New Hamburg Oxbow Vright-of-way</li> </ul>	
	1.2.3 Forests (e.g. woodlands [forest stands, woodlots and interior forest habitat] and significant valley lands [valley and stream corridors])	<ul> <li>No potential to affect forested areas</li> <li>No forested areas impacted</li> </ul>	No potential to affect forested areas • No forested areas impacted	
	1.2.4 Vegetation Species At Risk	<ul> <li>Moderate potential to affect vegetation</li> <li>1 vegetation SAR (Soft Hairy False Gromwell, S-Rank 2) in close proximity</li> <li>2 vegetation SAR (Braun's Holly Fern and Scarlet Beebalm, S-Rank 3) in close proximity</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Moderate potential to affect vegetati</li> <li>1 vegetation SAR (Soft Hairy False</li> <li>2 vegetation SAR (Braun's Holly F</li> </ul>	
	1.2.5 Designated/Special Areas (such as world biosphere reserves, heritage rivers, ESAs, ESPAs, ANSIs, environmental plan areas, conservation reserves; and the designated special areas of national parks, provincial parks, conservation areas, etc)	<ul> <li>High potential to affect designated special areas</li> <li>Nith River is designated as a Significant Natural Area under the Township's Official Plan and a Special Valley by the Region of Waterloo</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>High potential to affect designated s</li> <li>Nith River is designated as a Signi Special Valley by the Region of Wa</li> </ul>	
1.3 Groundwater	1.3.1 Areas of Groundwater Recharge and Discharge 1.3.2 Groundwater Source Areas and Wellhead Protection Areas	<ul> <li>Low potential to affect areas of groundwater recharge / discharge areas / wellhead protection areas</li> <li>No temporary or long term change to groundwater recharge / discharge areas</li> <li>No wellhead protection areas impacted</li> <li>Some surface runoff is expected to exceed infiltration for the majority of the route given the relatively impermeable nature of the surrounding soils</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Low potential to affect areas of grou areas</li> <li>No temporary or long term change</li> <li>No wellhead protection areas impa</li> <li>Some surface runoff is expected to relatively impermeable nature of the</li> </ul>	

to justify the high, medium or low assessment.

## Alternative G2

-in, right-out)

nd fish habitat

n water) e Nith River (thermal regime unknown) g

their habitat

study area rded in close proximity / within the alternative s in close proximity / within the alternative NR S-Rank 3) in close proximity / within the alternative / within the alternative

w Wetland Complex) located approximately 120 m from the

ation

Ise Gromwell, S-Rank 2) in close proximity <sup>7</sup> Fern and Scarlet Beebalm, S-Rank 3) in close proximity

d special areas gnificant Natural Area under the Township's Official Plan and a Waterloo

oundwater recharge / discharge areas / wellhead protection

ge to groundwater recharge / discharge areas pacted

to exceed infiltration for the majority of the route given the f the surrounding soils

Note: The evalua	ation is based on a qualitative asse	EVALUATION OF PRELIMINARY DESIGN ALTERNATIVES ssment of each alternative (high, medium or low). Relevant and site-specific information	for each criterion/cell is provided to j
Commont	C Alternetives	SEGMENT G – West of Regional Road 1 to West of Nafziger I Alternative G1 - Recommended	Road
	G Alternatives	4-lanes with 6-lanes through Peel Street and 7m median	4-lanes throughout and 7m median
Cros	s Section		
	oad Treatments	Regional Road 1 – Signalized Walker Road – Unsignalized (stop control on the crossing road) Peel Street – Signalized Victoria Street – Cul-de-sac Hamilton street – Signalized	Regional Road 1 – Signalized Walker Road – Unsignalized (right-in, Peel Street – Interchange Victoria Street – Cul-de-sac
Factor / Sub-Factor	Criteria		Hamilton street – Interchange
	1.3.3 Large Volume Wells	<ul> <li>Low potential to affect large volume wells</li> <li>No large volume wells impacted</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Low potential to affect large volume</li><li>no large volume wells impacted</li></ul>
	1.3.4 Private Wells	<ul> <li>Moderate potential to affect private well use</li> <li>2 private, shallow wells displaced</li> <li>9 shallow dug wells in close proximity (&lt;150 m)</li> <li>Sensitive to surface contamination; potential short and long term impacts</li> <li>2 deep bedrock aquifer wells in close proximity (&lt;150 m)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Moderate potential to affect private v</li> <li>2 private, shallow wells displaced</li> <li>9 shallow dug wells in close proxim <ul> <li>Sensitive to surface contaminati</li> <li>3 deep bedrock aquifer wells in close</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
	1.3.5 Groundwater-Sensitive Ecosystems (e.g. groundwater fed wetlands, coldwater streams)	<ul> <li>Low potential to affect groundwater sensitive ecosystems</li> <li>No groundwater sensitive ecosystems impacted</li> <li>Low potential for short and long term change to groundwater quantity / quality</li> <li>Potential for long-term effects to groundwater quality due to increased road salt use and road run-off.</li> <li>Potential for temporary effects to groundwater quantity if construction dewatering is required.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Low potential to affect groundwater s</li> <li>No groundwater sensitive ecosyste</li> <li>Low potential for short and long ter</li> <li>Potential for long-term effects to road run-off.</li> <li>Potential for temporary effects to required.</li> </ul>
1.4 Surface Water	1.4.1 Watershed / Sub- Watershed Drainage Features/Patterns	Low potential to affect drainage features / patterns and surface water quality / quantity <ul> <li>2 watercourse crossings</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Low potential to affect drainage feature</li><li>2 watercourse crossings</li></ul>
	1.4.2 Surface Water Quality and Quantity		
NATURAL ENVIRONMENT SU		Both alternatives have the same potential impacts to features of the natural environment	with no discernible differences.
2. Land Use / Socio-Economic			
2.1 Land Use Planning Policies, Goals, Objectives	2.1.1 First Nations Land Claims	<ul> <li>No potential to affect First Nations Land Claims</li> <li>No First Nations Land Claims impacted</li> <li>5 First Nations Land Claims filed in the study area</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No potential to affect First Nations La</li> <li>No First Nations Land Claims impa</li> <li>5 First Nations Land Claims filed</li> </ul>
	2.1.2 Provincial/Federal land use planning policies/goals/objectives	Previously addressed through the detailed planning phase.	
	2.1.3 Municipal (regional and local) land use planning policies/ goals/objectives (Official Plans)	Previously addressed through the detailed planning phase.	
	2.1.4 Development Objectives of Private Property Owners	Previously addressed through the detailed planning phase.	
2.2 Land Use / Community	2.2.1 First Nation Reserves	<ul> <li>No potential to affect First Nations Reserves</li> <li>No First Nations Reserves in the study area</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No potential to affect First Nations Re</li> <li>No First Nations Reserves in the st</li> </ul>
	2.2.2 First Nations' Sacred Grounds	Low potential to affect First Nations Sacred Grounds <ul> <li>No known First Nations Sacred Grounds in the study area</li> </ul>	Low potential to affect First Nations S • No known First Nations Sacred Gro

p justify the high, medium or low assessment.
Alternative G2
in, right-out)
e wells
e well use
imity (<150 m) ation; potential short and long term impacts
lose proximity (<150 m)
r sensitive ecosystems
tems impacted erm change to groundwater quantity / quality
to groundwater quality due to increased road salt use and
to groundwater quantity if construction dewatering is
atures / patterns and surface water quality / quantity
Land Claims
pacted ed in the study area
Reserves
study area
s Sacred Grounds
Brounds in the study area

		ssment of each alternative (high, medium or low). Relevant and site-specific information SEGMENT G – West of Regional Road 1 to West of Nafziger R	
Segment	G Alternatives	Alternative G1 - Recommended	
Cros	s Section	4-lanes with 6-lanes through Peel Street and 7m median	4-lanes throughout and 7m median
Crossing Road Treatments		Regional Road 1 – Signalized Walker Road – Unsignalized (stop control on the crossing road) Peel Street – Signalized Victoria Street – Cul-de-sac	Regional Road 1 – Signalized Walker Road – Unsignalized (right-in, r Peel Street – Interchange Victoria Street – Cul-de-sac
Factor / Sub-Factor	Criteria	Hamilton street – Signalized	Hamilton street – Interchange
	2.2.3 Urban and Rural Residential	<ul> <li>Low potential for impacts to urban and rural residential areas</li> <li>13 residential properties impacted <ul> <li>8 residential properties lose frontage</li> <li>Homes are displaced on 5 of these residential properties</li> <li>5 residential properties completely displaced</li> <li>No residential property severed</li> </ul> </li> <li>Low impact on character and use of residential property as while the alternative passes through built up residential areas and does displace a residence, use and enjoyment of the residential uses adjacent to the right-of-way are largely maintained</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>High potential for impacts to urban and</li> <li>28 residential properties impacted</li> <li>13 residential properties lose from</li> <li>Homes are displaced on 4 of thes</li> <li>15 residential properties complete</li> <li>No residential property severed</li> <li>High impact on character and use of up residential areas and displaces 4 and enjoyment of residential uses at introduction of interchanges at Peel S</li> </ul>
	2.2.4 Commercial/Industrial	<ul> <li>Moderate potential for impacts to commercial / industrial areas</li> <li>4 commercial / industrial properties impacted <ul> <li>4 commercial / industrial properties lose frontage</li> <li>No commercial / industrial building displaced</li> </ul> </li> <li>Low impacts on use and character and cohesion of commercial / industrial area as few properties impacted and access / travel for commercial / industrial uses adjacent to the right-of-way is largely maintained.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>High potential for impacts to commercial / industrial properties in</li> <li>5 commercial / industrial properties</li> <li>1 commercial / industrial building</li> <li>Moderate impacts on use, character businesses are displaced and travel right-of-way is disrupted with the intro</li> </ul>
	2.2.5 Tourist Areas and Attractions (e.g. museums, theatres, etc.)	<ul> <li>High potential for impacts to tourist areas and attractions</li> <li>1 tourist area / destination impacted <ul> <li>1 tourist area / destination loses frontage(New Hamburg Inn)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>High potential for impacts to tourist are</li> <li>1 tourist area / destination impacted</li> <li>1 tourist area / destination loses fi</li> <li>No impacts on use, character and co</li> </ul>
	2.2.6 Community Facilities / Institutions (e.g. hospitals, schools, places of worship, community features, municipal parks, public spaces, golf courses, trails, greenways and open space linkages)	<ul> <li>No impacts on use, character and cohesion of tourist areas / attractions</li> <li>No potential for impacts to community facilities and institutions</li> <li>No community facilities / institutions impacted</li> <li>No impacts on use, character and cohesion of community facilities / institutions</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No impacts on use, character and control of the second s</li></ul>
	2.2.7 Municipal Infrastructure and Public Service Facilities (e.g. sewage and water services, police/emergency services, local utilities)	<ul> <li>No potential to affect Municipal Infrastructure and Public Service Facilities</li> <li>No municipal infrastructure / public service facilities impacted</li> </ul>	No potential to affect Municipal Infrastr No municipal infrastructure / public s
	2.2.8 Downtown Historic Crossroads Function	<ul> <li>No potential to affect Downtown or Historic Crossroads</li> <li>No historic downtown cross roads in this segment</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No potential to affect Downtown or His</li> <li>No historic downtown cross roads in</li> </ul>
	2.2.9 Out of Way Travel for Access to / from local land uses	<ul> <li>Low potential to affect Out of Way Travel</li> <li>1 crossing road where crossing road treatment introduces out-of-way travel to access / exit the highway for some local users</li> <li>Cul-de-sac proposed at Victoria Street</li> </ul>	Low potential to affect Out of Way Tra • 2 crossing roads where crossing roads the highway for some local users - Right-in right-out access propose - Cul-de-sac proposed at Victoria S

o justify the high, medium or low assessment.
Alternative G2
n
t-in, right-out)
n and rural residential areas ed
frontage
these residential properties pletely displaced (residential lots) ed
se of residential property as the alternative passes through built es 4 residences and 15 residential lots. In addition the use es adjacent to the right-of-way are disrupted with the eel Street and Hamilton Street.
nercial / industrial areas
ies impacted perties lose frontage
ding displaced
avel / access for commercial / industrial uses adjacent to the
e introduction of interchanges. st areas and attractions
cted
ses frontage(New Hamburg Inn) nd cohesion of tourist areas / attractions
/ facilities and institutions
cted and cohesion of community facilities / institutions
rastructure and Public Service Facilities
blic service facilities impacted
r Historic Crossroads
ds in this segment
<sup>7</sup> Travel
g road treatment introduces out-of-way travel to access / exit
rs oosed at Walker Road ria Street

Note: The evaluation	on is based on a qualitative asse	Highway 7&8 Transportation Corridor Planning and Class EA S EVALUATION OF PRELIMINARY DESIGN ALTERNATIVES ssment of each alternative (high, medium or low). Relevant and site-specific information for	or each criterion/cell is provided to
		SEGMENT G – West of Regional Road 1 to West of Nafziger Ro	pad
Segment G	Alternatives	Alternative G1 - Recommended	
Cross	Section	4-lanes with 6-lanes through Peel Street and 7m median	4-lanes throughout and 7m median
Crossing Road Treatments		Regional Road 1 – Signalized Walker Road – Unsignalized (stop control on the crossing road) Peel Street – Signalized Victoria Street – Cul-de-sac Hamilton street – Signalized	Regional Road 1 – Signalized Walker Road – Unsignalized (right-ir Peel Street – Interchange Victoria Street – Cul-de-sac
Factor / Sub-Factor	Criteria		Hamilton street – Interchange
2.3 Noise Sensitive Areas (NSAs) (residential areas and sensitive institutional uses)	2.3.1 Highway Noise	<ul> <li>Low potential for highway noise impacts.</li> <li>Noise levels are anticipated to increase based on additional traffic volumes using the corridor.</li> <li>Design alternatives presented result in no discernible differences in noise levels for receptors adjacent to or in close proximity to the corridor.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Low potential for highway noise imp</li> <li>Noise levels are anticipated to inc</li> <li>Design alternatives presented res adjacent to or in close proximity to</li> </ul>
	2.3.2 Construction Noise	<ul> <li>Moderate potential for construction noise impacts</li> <li>For all alternatives, construction activities will vary temporally and spatially as the project progresses.</li> <li>Noise levels from construction at a given receptor location will also vary over time as different activities take place, and as those activities change location.</li> <li>At this time, detailed construction plans are not available. Construction noise mitigation in the form of a construction Code of Practice will be written into the contract documentation for the contractor.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Moderate potential for construction</li> <li>For all alternatives, construction a progresses.</li> <li>Noise levels from construction at a activities take place, and as those</li> <li>At this time, detailed construction form of a construction Code of Pracontractor.</li> </ul>
2.4 Agriculture	2.4.1 Agriculture - Canada Land Inventory Class 1,2,3 Land	<ul> <li>Moderate potential for impacts to CLI Class 1,2, 3 lands</li> <li>Potentially displaces 3.9 hectares of agricultural land from a total of 10 agricultural properties</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Moderate potential for impacts to C</li><li>Potentially displaces 3.9 hectares</li></ul>
	2.4.2 Agricultural - Farm Infrastructure	<ul> <li>Low potential for impacts to farm infrastructure</li> <li>No farm buildings (excluding houses) displaced</li> <li>10 farm properties with tile drainage / irrigation systems impacted (assume all impacted agricultural properties are tile drained)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Low potential for impacts to farm initial</li> <li>No farm buildings (excluding hous</li> <li>10 farm properties with tile drainage</li> <li>agricultural properties are tile drainage</li> </ul>
	2.4.3 Agriculture – Operations on Individual Farms	<ul> <li>Low potential for impacts to operations on individual farms</li> <li>10 agricultural properties impacted <ul> <li>No agricultural properties are severed and no parcels are potentially landlocked</li> <li>10 agricultural properties lose frontage</li> <li>No potentially landlocked parcels created</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Low potential for impacts to operation</li> <li>10 agricultural properties impacted</li> <li>No agricultural properties are s</li> <li>10 agricultural properties lose for the second second</li></ul>
	2.4.4 Agriculture – Transportation Linkages between Integrated Agricultural Business Units	<ul> <li>Low potential for impacts to transportation linkages between integrated agricultural business units</li> <li>1 crossing roads where crossing road treatment restricts access to the highway however limited impacts to agricultural transportation routes given the crossing roads are located within the urban area         <ul> <li>Cul-de-sac proposed at Victoria Street</li> <li>Existing road maintained as highway use with additional traffic causing disruption to agricultural linkage route (Highway 7&amp;8)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Moderate potential for impacts to trabusiness units</li> <li>2 crossing roads where crossing roads where crossing roads where crossing road and a crossing road maintained as highwagricultural linkage route (Highwagricultural linkage route (Highwagri</li></ul>
2.5 Land Use / Resources	2.5.1 First Nations People's Treaty Rights or Use of Land and Resources for Traditional Purposes (e.g. hunting, fishing, harvesting	<ul> <li>Low potential to affect First Nations People's Treaty Rights or Use of Land and Resources for Traditional Purposes</li> <li>All alternatives result in similar potential to affect First Nations People's Treaty Rights of Use of Land / Resources</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Low potential to affect First Nations Traditional Purposes</li> <li>All alternatives result in similar por Land / Resources</li> </ul>
	(e.g. hunting, fishing, harvesting of country foods, harvesting of medicinal plants)		

## to justify the high, medium or low assessment.

### Alternative G2

t-in, right-out)

npacts.

ncrease based on additional traffic volumes using the corridor. esult in no discernible differences in noise levels for receptors to the corridor.

on noise impacts activities will vary temporally and spatially as the project

t a given receptor location will also vary over time as different se activities change location.

n plans are not available. Construction noise mitigation in the Practice will be written into the contract documentation for the

CLI Class 1,2, 3 lands

es of agricultural land from a total of 10 agricultural properties

infrastructure

uses) displaced

age / irrigation systems impacted (assume all impacted ained)

tions on individual farms

ed

severed and no parcels are potentially landlocked

e frontage s created

created

transportation linkages between integrated agricultural

road treatment restricts access to the highway and route with right-in right-out access proposed at Walker

al transportation routes with Cul-de-sac proposed at Victoria

nway use with additional traffic causing disruption to ray 7&8)

ns People's Treaty Rights or Use of Land and Resources for

otential to affect First Nations People's Treaty Rights of Use of

		Highway 7&8 Transportation Corridor Planning and Class EA S EVALUATION OF PRELIMINARY DESIGN ALTERNATIVES	
Note: The evaluat	ion is based on a qualitative asse	ssment of each alternative (high, medium or low). Relevant and site-specific information f SEGMENT G – West of Regional Road 1 to West of Nafziger R	
Segment (	G Alternatives	Alternative G1 - Recommended	Alternative G2
	s Section	4-lanes with 6-lanes through Peel Street and 7m median	4-lanes throughout and 7m median
Crossing Road Treatments		Regional Road 1 – Signalized Walker Road – Unsignalized (stop control on the crossing road) Peel Street – Signalized Victoria Street – Cul-de-sac	Regional Road 1 – Signalized Walker Road – Unsignalized (right-in, right-out) Peel Street – Interchange Victoria Street – Cul-de-sac
Factor / Sub-Factor	Criteria	Hamilton street – Signalized	Hamilton street – Interchange
	2.5.2 Parks and Recreational Areas	<ul> <li>No potential to affect parks and recreational areas</li> <li>No parks or conservation areas impacted</li> </ul>	<ul><li>No potential to affect parks and recreational areas</li><li>No parks or conservation areas impacted</li></ul>
	(e.g. national/provincial parks, conservation areas)		
	2.5.3 Aggregates, Mineral Resources	<ul> <li>No potential to affect aggregate / mineral resources</li> <li>No aggregate / mineral resources impacted</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No potential to affect aggregate / mineral resources</li> <li>No aggregate / mineral resources impacted</li> </ul>
2.6 Major Utility Transmission	Corridors	No potential to affect major utility corridors	No potential to affect major utility corridors
(e.g. railroads, hydro, gas, oil)		No major utility corridors impacted / crossed	No major utility corridors impacted / crossed
2.7 Contaminated Property and Waste Management		<ul> <li>Low potential to affect contaminated property / waste management sites</li> <li>2 properties in close proximity where spills have been recorded</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Low potential to affect contaminated property / waste management sites</li> <li>2 properties in close proximity where spills have been recorded</li> </ul>
(e.g. Landfills, Hazardous Waste known contaminated sites, and h		No properties impacted with known potential contamination concerns	<ul> <li>No properties impacted with known potential contamination concerns</li> </ul>
2.8 Landscape Composition	2.8.1 Scenic Composition (total aesthetic value of landscape components)	<ul> <li>Low potential to affect scenic composition / aesthetic value</li> <li>Low impacts to aesthetic value for a majority of route given route is on existing roads</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Low potential to affect scenic composition / aesthetic value</li> <li>Low impacts to aesthetic value for a majority of route given route is on existing roads</li> </ul>
	2.8.2 Sensitive Viewer Groups	<ul> <li>Low potential to affect sensitive viewer groups</li> <li>No sensitive viewer groups adjacent to this alternative where vistas / outlooks will be significantly impacted</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>High potential to affect sensitive viewer groups</li> <li>1 sensitive viewer group (residents of Stonecroft development plan area) adjacent to this alternative where vistas / outlooks will be negatively impacted by requirement for retaining wall in vicinity of Peel Street</li> </ul>
	2.8.3 Scenic value of views/vistas from the transportation facility	<ul> <li>Low potential to affect views / vistas from the facility</li> <li>All alternatives result in similar alteration of the vistas / outlooks for users of the transportation facility</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Low potential to affect views / vistas from the facility</li> <li>All alternatives result in similar alteration of the vistas / outlooks for users of the transportation facility</li> </ul>
	2.8.4 Specimen Trees	Moderate potential to affect specimen trees	Moderate potential to affect specimen trees
2.9 Air Quality	2.9.1 Regional Air Quality and Total Contaminant and Greenhouse Gas Emissions	Previously considered during the detailed planning phase.	
	2.9.2 Local Air Quality and Sensitive Receptors to Air Pollutants	<ul> <li>Low potential to affect air quality for sensitive receptors</li> <li>Design alternatives presented result in no discernible differences in air quality levels for sensitive receptors adjacent to or in close proximity to the corridor.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Low potential to affect air quality for sensitive receptors</li> <li>Design alternatives presented result in no discernible differences in air quality levels for sensitive receptors adjacent to or in close proximity to the corridor.</li> </ul>
SOCIO-ECONOMIC SUMMARY		Alternative G1 is preferred as it results in the least direct impacts to residential uses; comr Alternative G1 also has the least indirect impacts on access and travel on Highway 7&8 an introduces out-of-way travel.	mercial / industrial uses; community facilities and institutions and sensitive viewer groups. d areas adjacent to it as it has fewest crossing roads where crossing road treatment
3. Cultural Environmental Fact	tors		
3.1 Cultural Heritage – Built Heritage and Cultural Landscapes	3.1.1 Buildings or "Standing" Sites of Architectural or Heritage Significance or Ontario Heritage Foundation Easement Properties	<ul> <li>No potential for impacts to buildings or "standing" sites of architectural or heritage significance</li> <li>No sites of architectural or heritage significance impacted</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No potential for impacts to buildings or "standing" sites of architectural or heritage significance</li> <li>No sites of architectural or heritage significance impacted</li> </ul>

Note: The evalua	ation is based on a qualitative asse	Highway 7&8 Transportation Corridor Planning and Class EA S EVALUATION OF PRELIMINARY DESIGN ALTERNATIVES ssment of each alternative (high, medium or low). Relevant and site-specific information f	-
		SEGMENT G – West of Regional Road 1 to West of Nafziger R	•
Segment	G Alternatives	Alternative G1 - Recommended	
	s Section	4-lanes with 6-lanes through Peel Street and 7m median	4-lanes throughout and 7m median
Crossing Road Treatments		Regional Road 1 – Signalized Walker Road – Unsignalized (stop control on the crossing road) Peel Street – Signalized Victoria Street – Cul-de-sac	Regional Road 1 – Signalized Walker Road – Unsignalized (right-in Peel Street – Interchange Victoria Street – Cul-de-sac
Factor / Sub-Factor	Criteria	Hamilton street – Signalized	Hamilton street – Interchange
	3.1.2 Heritage Bridges	<ul><li>No potential for impacts to heritage bridges</li><li>No heritage bridges displaced</li></ul>	<ul><li>No potential for impacts to heritage b</li><li>No heritage bridges displaced</li></ul>
	3.1.3 Areas of Historic 19 <sup>th</sup> Century Settlement	<ul> <li>No potential for impacts to areas of historic 19<sup>th</sup> century settlement</li> <li>No intrusion into 19th century settlement areas</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No potential for impacts to areas of h</li> <li>No intrusion into 19th century settle</li> </ul>
	3.1.4 Cultural Heritage Landscapes	<ul> <li>No potential for impacts to cultural landscapes</li> <li>No cultural landscapes identified</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No potential for impacts to cultural la</li> <li>No cultural landscapes identified</li> </ul>
	(collection of individual man- made features modifying pristine landscape)		
	3.1.5 First Nations' Burial Sites	<ul> <li>No potential for impacts to First Nations burial sites</li> <li>No known / reported First Nation burial sites in the study area</li> </ul>	No potential for impacts to First Nation b No known / reported First Nation b
	3.1.6 Cemeteries	<ul><li>No potential for impacts to cemeteries</li><li>No known cemeteries impacted</li></ul>	<ul><li>No potential for impacts to cemeterie</li><li>No known cemeteries impacted</li></ul>
3.2 Cultural Heritage – Archaeology	3.2.1 Pre-Historic and Historic First Nations Sites	Low potential for destruction or disturbance of documented or undocumented archaeological sites	Low potential for destruction or distusites
	3.2.2 Historic Euro-Canadian Archaeological Sites	<ul> <li>General concentration of registered archaeological sites in vicinity of existing roads (Highway 7&amp;8 and intersecting roads)</li> <li>Limited potential for previously undocumented archaeological sites within new areas of right-of-way given lands are largely developed and heavily disturbed</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>General concentration of registered 7&amp;8 and intersecting roads)</li> <li>Limited potential for previously unc of-way given lands are largely developed</li> </ul>
CULTURAL ENVIRONMENT S	SUMMARY	For both alternatives, potential impacts to features of the cultural environment are compared	
4. Area Economy	Previously Addressed During the Needs Assessment Phase		
5. Transportation Factors			
5.1 Area Transportation System Capacity and Efficiency	5.1 Federal/Provincial/Municipal transportation planning policies/goals/objectives	Previously addressed during Needs Assessment Phase	Highway 7&8 is a regionally significative key role in linking communities in so across Ontario.
	5.2 Efficient movement of people	<ul> <li>High potential to support efficient movement of people</li> <li>Route utilizes existing roadway but good level of service achieved through the introduction of median separation and improved intersection treatments; no private entrances</li> <li>Direct access to New Hamburg</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>High potential to support efficient mo</li> <li>Route utilizes existing roadway l of median separation and intercl entrances</li> <li>Direct access to New Hamburg</li> </ul>
	5.3 Efficient movement of goods	<ul> <li>High potential to support efficient movement of goods</li> <li>Route utilizes existing roadway but good level of service achieved through the introduction of median separation and improved intersection treatments; no private entrances</li> <li>Direct access to New Hamburg</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>High potential to support efficient mo</li> <li>Route utilizes existing roadway of median separation and impro</li> <li>Direct access to New Hamburg</li> </ul>
5.2 System reliability / redundancy		<ul> <li>Low potential to support system reliability and redundancy</li> <li>Route uses existing alignment, which does not provide an alternate route to accommodate travel during adverse conditions; however, parallel municipal roads could serve this function</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Low potential to support system relia</li> <li>Route uses existing alignment, v travel during adverse conditions</li> </ul>

o justify the high, medium or low assessment.
Alternative G2
in, right-out)
bridges
f historic 19 <sup>th</sup> century settlement
tlement areas
landscapes
tions burial sites
burial sites in the study area
ies
turbance of documented or undocumented archaeological
ed archaeological sites in vicinity of existing roads (Highway
ndocumented archaeological sites within new areas of right- veloped and heavily disturbed
S.
cant part of the overall provincial highway network. It plays a south-western Ontario and supports economic prosperity
novement of people y but good level of service achieved through the introduction
changes / improved intersection treatments; no private
g novement of goods
y but good level of service achieved through the introduction
oved intersection treatments; no private entrances
liability and redundancy
, which does not provide an alternate route to accommodate as; however, parallel municipal roads could serve this function

Note: The evalua	ation is based on a qualitative asses	Highway 7&8 Transportation Corridor Planning and Class EA S EVALUATION OF PRELIMINARY DESIGN ALTERNATIVES ssment of each alternative (high, medium or low). Relevant and site-specific information f	
		SEGMENT G – West of Regional Road 1 to West of Nafziger R	
Segment	G Alternatives	Alternative G1 - Recommended	Alternative G2
Cros	s Section	4-lanes with 6-lanes through Peel Street and 7m median	4-lanes throughout and 7m median
Crossing Road Treatments		Regional Road 1 – Signalized Walker Road – Unsignalized (stop control on the crossing road) Peel Street – Signalized Victoria Street – Cul-de-sac Hamilton street – Signalized	Regional Road 1 – Signalized Walker Road – Unsignalized (right-in, right-out) Peel Street – Interchange Victoria Street – Cul-de-sac
Factor / Sub-Factor	Criteria		Hamilton street – Interchange
5.3 Safety	5.3.1 Traffic Safety	<ul> <li>Moderate potential to improve traffic safety</li> <li>Route uses existing roadway corridor however no direct access points associated with private entrances</li> <li>Four lane cross section provides for good passing opportunity</li> <li>Increased potential for collisions at Walker Road, Peel Street and Hamilton Street intersections as traffic volumes increase</li> <li>Cul-de-sac at Victoria Street eliminates turning movements to/from highway</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>High potential to improve traffic safety</li> <li>Route uses existing roadway corridor however no direct access points associated with private entrances</li> <li>Four lane cross section provides for good passing opportunity</li> <li>Interchanges at Peel Street and Hamilton Street eliminate turning movements to/from highway</li> <li>Cul-de-sac at Victoria Street eliminates turning movements to/from highway</li> <li>Right-in/right-out configuration at Walker Road eliminates left turn movements to/from highway</li> </ul>
	5.3.2 Emergency Access	<ul> <li>High potential to support emergency access to/from route</li> <li>Full moves connection provided at RR1, Peel Street, Hamilton Street and Walker Road; ability to provide emergency access at Victoria Street intersections</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>High potential to support emergency access to/from route</li> <li>Full moves connection provided at RR1, Peel Street and Hamilton Street; ability to provide emergency access at Walker Road and Victoria Street intersections</li> </ul>
	5.3.3 Pedestrian, Cyclist and Snowmobile Safety within the highway right-of-way	<ul> <li>Low potential to improve pedestrian, cyclist and snowmobile safety</li> <li>Cyclist movements within right-of-way not recommended due to freeway type environment but could be accommodated via improved shoulders</li> <li>Pedestrian, cyclist and snowmobile movements across right-of-way can be provided at intersections or other designated crossings</li> <li>Wider intersection footprint for pedestrians to cross at Peel Street and Hamilton Drive intersections due to 6-lane cross section and left and right turn lanes at the intersections</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>High potential to improve pedestrian, cyclist and snowmobile safety</li> <li>Cyclist movements within right-of-way not recommended due to freeway type environment but could be accommodated via improved shoulders</li> <li>Pedestrian, cyclist and snowmobile movements across right-of-way can be provided at interchanges / intersections or other designated crossings</li> <li>Grade separations at Peel Street and Hamilton Street eliminate potential conflicts between highway traffic and pedestrians crossing at these locations</li> </ul>
5.4 Mobility and Access	5.4.1 Modal integration, balance and efficiency	<ul> <li>Moderate potential to improve modal integration, balance and efficiency</li> <li>Transit service supported by direct connection to New Hamburg and the development along Highway 7&amp;8</li> <li>Use of existing roadway would constrain transit travel performance</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Moderate potential to improve modal integration, balance and efficiency</li> <li>Transit service supported by direct connection to New Hamburg and the development along Highway 7&amp;8</li> <li>Use of existing roadway would constrain transit travel performance</li> </ul>
	5.4.2 Linkages to Population and Employment Centres	<ul><li>High potential to improve linkages to population and employment centres</li><li>Connection between Stratford area and New Hamburg improved</li></ul>	<ul> <li>High potential to improve linkages to population and employment centres</li> <li>Connection between Stratford area and New Hamburg improved</li> </ul>
	5.4.3 Recreation and Tourism Travel	<ul><li>High potential to support recreation and tourism travel</li><li>Direct route to New Hamburg, Shakespeare and Stratford</li></ul>	<ul> <li>High potential to support recreation and tourism travel</li> <li>Direct route to New Hamburg, Shakespeare and Stratford</li> </ul>
	5.4.4 Accommodate mobility of pedestrians, cyclists and snowmobiles	<ul> <li>Low potential to accommodate mobility of pedestrians, cyclists and snowmobiles</li> <li>Cyclist movements within right-of-way not recommended due to freeway type environment but could be accommodated via improved shoulders</li> <li>Pedestrian, cyclist and snowmobile movements across right-of-way can be provided at intersections or other designated crossings</li> <li>Wider intersection footprint for pedestrians to cross at Peel Street and Hamilton Drive intersections due to 6-lane cross section and left and right turn lanes at the intersections</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>High potential to accommodate mobility of pedestrians, cyclists and snowmobiles</li> <li>Pedestrian and cyclist movements within right-of-way not recommended due to freeway type environment but could be accommodated via improved shoulders</li> <li>Pedestrian, cyclist and snowmobile movements across right-of-way can be provided at interchanges / intersections or other designated crossings</li> <li>Grade separations at Peel Street and Hamilton Street eliminate potential conflicts between highway traffic and pedestrians crossing at these locations</li> </ul>
5.5 Network Compatibility	5.5.1 Network Connectivity	<ul> <li>High potential to improve transportation system connectivity</li> <li>Provides improved linkage between Stratford and New Hamburg</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>High potential to improve transportation system connectivity</li> <li>Provides improved linkage between Stratford and New Hamburg</li> </ul>
	5.5.2 Flexibility for Future Expansion	<ul><li>Moderate potential for future expansion</li><li>Route uses existing alignment</li></ul>	<ul><li>Moderate potential for future expansion</li><li>Route uses existing alignment</li></ul>
5.6 Engineering	5.6.1 Constructability	<ul> <li>Moderate potential for constructability issues</li> <li>Uses existing roadway corridor requiring more complex traffic staging during construction</li> <li>Requires expansion of Nith River Bridge</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>High potential for constructability issues</li> <li>Uses existing roadway corridor requiring more complex traffic staging during construction</li> <li>Requires expansion of Nith River Bridge and an additional bridge crossing for WB off-ramp at Peel Street</li> </ul>

Highway 7&8 Transportation Corridor Planning and Class EA Study EVALUATION OF PRELIMINARY DESIGN ALTERNATIVES

Note: The evaluation is based on a qualitative assessment of each alternative (high, medium or low). Relevant and site-specific information for each criterion/cell is provided to

SEGMENT G – West of Regional Road 1 to West of Nafziger Road

		SEGMENT G – West of Regional Road 1 to West of Nafziger Ro	bad
Segment (	G Alternatives	Alternative G1 - Recommended	
Cross Section Crossing Road Treatments		4-lanes with 6-lanes through Peel Street and 7m median	4-lanes throughout and 7m median Regional Road 1 – Signalized Walker Road – Unsignalized (right-in, Peel Street – Interchange Victoria Street – Cul-de-sac
		Regional Road 1 – Signalized Walker Road – Unsignalized (stop control on the crossing road) Peel Street – Signalized Victoria Street – Cul-de-sac	
Factor / Sub-Factor	Criteria	Hamilton street – Signalized	Hamilton street – Interchange
	5.6.2 Compliance with Design Criteria	<ul> <li>High conformity to safety and design standards</li> <li>Supports use of better than minimum horizontal and vertical alignment elements</li> <li>Can accommodate standard lane and shoulder widths</li> <li>High conformity to control private entrances and road connections onto highway</li> <li>Strict access control resulting in highway that functions safely and efficiently for its useful life</li> <li>Highway Access Management Plan would be developed for managing entrances onto the corridor: <ul> <li>spacing between existing/proposed intersections along highway</li> <li>offset spacing from highway to first intersection / entrance on public crossing road</li> <li>location of existing and proposed inter-regional and municipal transit routes and facilities</li> <li>traffic impact study(s), to support existing and future land use planning decisions for above</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>High conformity to safety and design</li> <li>Supports use of better than minin</li> <li>Can accommodate standard land</li> <li>High conformity to control private ention</li> <li>Strict access control resulting in</li> <li>Highway Access Management P corridor: <ul> <li>spacing between existing/prop</li> <li>density of proposed entrances</li> <li>offset spacing from highway to</li> <li>location of existing and propose</li> <li>traffic impact study(s), to supprabove</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
5.7 Traffic Operations		<ul> <li>Moderate potential for negative impact on traffic operations</li> <li>At-grade intersections retained at Peel Street, Hamilton Street and Walker Road; Victoria Street intersection eliminated</li> <li>Peel Street predicted to be congested under future conditions even with proposed highway improvements</li> <li>No private entrances</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Low potential for negative impact on</li> <li>Peel Street, Hamilton Street and interchanges provide all moveme points to New Hamburg)</li> <li>No private entrances</li> </ul>
<b>5.8 Construction Cost</b> (excludes property costs and engineering costs)		Low Relative Cost	High Relative Cost
		\$14.5 M	\$33.5 M
TRANSPORTATION SUMMARY		Alternative G2 is slightly preferred from a transportation perspective as it has higher potential to improve traffic safety and lower relative to Alternative G1. However, it does have a significantly higher cost.	
RECOMMENDATION		<ul> <li>Alternative G1 is recommended.</li> <li>For all alternatives, potential impacts to features of the natural and cultural environments are comparable with no discernible direct impacts.</li> <li>From a socio-economic perspective, Alternative G1 is preferred as it results in the least direct impacts to: residential uses; com institutions and sensitive viewer groups. Alternative G1 also has the least indirect impacts on access and travel on Highway 7&amp; roads where crossing road treatment introduces out-of-way travel.</li> <li>Alternative G2 is slightly preferred from a transportation perspective however it does have a significantly higher cost.</li> </ul>	

p justify the high, medium or low assessment.	

## Alternative G2

in, right-out)

gn standards

- nimum horizontal and vertical alignment elements one and shoulder widths entrances and road connections onto highway
- in highway that functions safely and efficiently for its useful life
- t Plan would be developed for managing entrances onto the
- oposed intersections along highway
- es along highway
- to first intersection / entrance on public crossing road posed inter-regional and municipal transit routes and facilities pport existing and future land use planning decisions for

on traffic operations nd Victoria Street at-grade intersections eliminated; ments to/from Peel Street and Hamilton Street (key entry

wer potential for negative impact on traffic operations

differences. mmercial / industrial uses; community facilities and 7&8 and an area adjacent to it as it has fewest crossing